Beyond Briefs Law Review

Volume 1 | Issue 1

2023

DISCLAIMER

THE CRITICAL ANALYSIS OF THE PSYCHOLOGICAL PARADIGM OF WHITE-COLLAR CRIMINALS.

~ Sohini Biswas[1]

Abstract

White-collar crime is a complex and pervasive issue in society, with individuals in positions of trust and authority engaging in various forms of illegal activity for financial gain. This comprehensive research paper delves into the psychology of white-collar criminals, aiming to understand their motivations and the rationalizations they employ to justify their actions. By examining key theories, case studies, and empirical research, this paper provides insights into the multifaceted nature of white-collar crime and the factors that drive individuals to commit such offenses. Furthermore, it explores the implications of these findings for prevention, detection, and rehabilitation strategies.

Key Words

White Collar Crime, Psychology, Financial fraud

---

[1] You may contact the author at the following email address: sohini1911official@gmail.com.

INTRODUCTION

White-collar crime is a financially driven, non-violent criminal behaviour that is often carried out by people or groups in positions of trust, power, or privilege in the corporate, government, or professional sectors. White-collar crime, in contrast to traditional street crime, focuses on lying, manipulation, and the misuse of authority to seek financial gain, frequently at the expense of others.

White-collar crime is characterized by its lack of violence, the misuse of power, and its ties to financial fraud, embezzlement, insider trading, tax evasion, corporate malfeasance, and other financially motivated activities. These crimes may have severe social and economic repercussions, including financial loss, a decline in public confidence, harm to people, enterprises, and society at large.

White-collar criminals frequently rely on their expertise, connections, and power to carry out their illicit actions, making identification and prosecution difficult. It continues to be very difficult for law enforcement, regulatory organizations, and society to effectively combat and prevent white-collar crime given its complexity and prevalence. Creating solutions to lessen the effects of white-collar crime and hold offenders accountable requires an understanding of its complexities and dynamics.

PREVALENCE AND COSTS OF WHITE-COLLAR CRIME

Even though white-collar crime is frequently less obvious than street crime, it still has serious social and economic repercussions. Its prevalence is global, affecting people, companies, and governments all around the world. It is crucial to comprehend the scope of white-collar crime in order to create efficient preventive strategies and allocate resources for enforcement.

White-collar crime has tremendous economic repercussions. Massive financial losses from these crimes frequently go beyond just monetary damages. They may result in lost jobs, personal bankruptcies, and a decline in the public's confidence in institutions. Corporate fraud, Ponzi schemes, and insider trading are some of the most notorious white-collar crimes that have cost billions of dollars in losses and destroyed the financial stability of numerous people and organizations.

White-collar crime can also have a significant impact on society. As law enforcement agencies and regulatory bodies must devote significant resources to investigate and prosecute these acts, it can threaten economic stability, impede investment and growth, and put a drain on the public sector's resources.

It is widespread and has significant economic and social costs, which make it difficult to quantify its full extent due to underreporting and detection issues. These factors highlight the need for ongoing research, prevention initiatives, and effective law enforcement measures to combat these crimes and lessen their effects on both individuals and society.

THEORETICAL FRAMEWORKS

Strain theory in the psychology of white-collar criminals explores the motivations and rationalizations that drive individuals in high-status, typically non-violent professions to engage in illegal activities. Developed by Robert K. Merton in the mid-20th century, strain theory posits that individuals turn to crime when they experience a disjunction between societal goals and the means available to achieve them.

In the context of white-collar crime, individuals may feel pressure to attain societal success, wealth, or status but perceive limitations or obstacles in their legitimate careers. This strain can lead them to rationalize illegal actions to achieve these goals, as they view it as the only available option.

Motivations for white-collar crime often include financial gain, career advancement, or maintaining a certain lifestyle. Rationalizations may involve justifying their actions as victimless, arguing that the system is corrupt, or believing that their actions are a necessary response to external pressures.

Strain theory highlights the importance of examining the socio-economic and environmental factors that contribute to the motivations and rationalizations of white-collar criminals. By addressing these underlying issues, society can better understand and prevent such criminal behaviour through more equitable opportunities and improved ethical education.

Social learning theory, as applied to the psychology of white-collar criminals, emphasizes the role of observation, modeling, and reinforcement in shaping their motivations and rationalizations

for engaging in illegal activities. Developed by Albert Bandura, this theory posits that individuals learn behaviours and attitudes through their social interactions and the consequences of those behaviours.

In the context of white-collar crime, social learning theory suggests that individuals may be influenced by observing others in similar positions who have successfully committed illegal acts without facing severe consequences. This observational learning can motivate them to emulate these actions, particularly when they perceive personal benefits, such as financial gain or career advancement.

Rationalizations in white-collar crime often involve minimizing the moral or legal implications of their actions. They may rationalize their behaviour by believing that everyone else is doing it (normative rationalization), that their actions are not causing direct harm (victimless rationalization), or that their success justifies the means (instrumental rationalization). These rationalizations are reinforced through social interactions within their professional and personal networks, creating a cycle of acceptance and normalization.

Understanding the role of social learning theory in white-collar crime motivations and rationalizations underscores the importance of not only addressing individual culpability but also the social and organizational contexts that enable and perpetuate such behavior. Prevention efforts should focus on fostering ethical norms, promoting accountability, and reducing the influence of deviant role models in high-status professions.

Neutralization theory, developed by Sykes and Matza in the 1950s, examines the motivations and rationalizations of white-collar criminals by proposing that individuals temporarily suspend their moral and ethical beliefs to justify engaging in deviant behaviour. In the context of white-collar crime, this theory helps explain how otherwise law-abiding individuals rationalize their actions.

White-collar criminals often employ neutralization techniques to minimize the guilt associated with their illegal activities. These techniques include:

1. Denial of responsibility: Offenders may argue that they had no choice or were forced into their actions due to external pressures, such as financial difficulties or workplace expectations.

2. Denial of injury: Some individuals downplay the harm caused by their actions, arguing that they did not hurt anyone or that the consequences were negligible.

3. Denial of victim: White-collar criminals might justify their behavior by portraying themselves as victims, shifting blame to others, or arguing that their actions were necessary to protect their interests.

4. Condemnation of the condemners: Offenders may criticize the legal system, authorities, or society, arguing that these entities are corrupt or hypocritical.

5. Appeal to higher loyalties: Some individuals claim that their actions were motivated by a higher moral or ethical cause, such as preserving their family's well-being or ensuring the success of their organization.

Understanding neutralization theory in the context of white-collar crime helps shed light on the psychological mechanisms that enable individuals to reconcile their deviant actions with their self-concept as law-abiding citizens. Prevention and intervention strategies should address these rationalizations by emphasizing ethical education, promoting moral awareness, and holding white-collar offenders accountable for their actions.

Routine Activity Theory, developed by Cohen and Felson in the late 1970s, primarily focuses on understanding crime in general, but it can be applied to white-collar criminals' motivations and rationalizations as well. This theory posits that crime occurs when three elements converge: a motivated offender, a suitable target, and a lack of capable guardianship. When applied to white-collar crime:

1. Motivated offender: In the context of white-collar crime, motivated offenders are typically individuals who have access to valuable resources, such as financial information or company assets, and are motivated by financial gain, career advancement, or personal interests.

2. Suitable target: White-collar criminals often target opportunities presented within their professional environments, such as embezzling funds, committing fraud, or engaging in insider trading. These opportunities arise due to their positions of trust and access to valuable assets or information.

3. Lack of capable guardianship: In many white-collar crime cases, there may be inadequate oversight or controls in place, which allows offenders to exploit vulnerabilities without detection. This lack of supervision can facilitate their actions.

White-collar criminals may rationalize their behaviour by believing that the absence of strict controls or the complexity of their actions makes them less likely to get caught or face legal consequences. Motivations often revolve around financial gain or job security, and rationalizations can involve justifying their actions as necessary for personal or organizational success.

To prevent white-collar crime, Routine Activity Theory suggests improving security measures, enhancing oversight, and promoting ethical behaviour within organizations to disrupt the convergence of these elements and reduce opportunities for criminal activities.

Opportunity theory, as applied to the psychology of white-collar criminals, focuses on the role of environmental opportunities in motivating and rationalizing their illegal actions. It suggests that individuals are more likely to engage in criminal behaviour when they perceive favourable circumstances and a reduced risk of detection or punishment. In the context of white-collar crime:

1. White-collar criminals are often motivated by financial gain, career advancement, or maintaining a certain lifestyle. Opportunity theory highlights how the availability of tempting opportunities within their professional or organizational context can trigger these motivations. The lure of easy access to valuable resources or information can be a powerful incentive.

2. Rationalizations in white-collar crime involve justifying illegal actions to themselves. When presented with enticing opportunities, individuals may rationalize their behavior by convincing themselves that their actions are not morally wrong, that they won't get caught, or that their actions are necessary due to external pressures. They might downplay the harm caused or argue that their actions are a response to an unfair system.

Opportunity theory underscores the importance of reducing or eliminating the opportunities for white-collar crime through improved security measures, stricter oversight, and ethical education. By making it more difficult for individuals to exploit vulnerabilities within their professional environments, society can deter potential offenders and mitigate the rationalizations that drive them to engage in illegal activities.

Control theory, when applied to the psychology of white-collar criminals, examines the motivations and rationalizations behind their actions by emphasizing the role of social bonds, self-control, and external controls in preventing deviant behaviour. Control theory posits that individuals are naturally inclined to conform to societal norms and that various factors influence their ability to resist criminal temptations. In the context of white-collar crime:

1. White-collar criminals may be motivated by financial gain, career advancement, or the desire to maintain a particular lifestyle. Control theory suggests that when individuals lack strong social bonds, such as attachment to family or commitment to a legitimate career, they may be more prone to pursuing these motivations through illegal means.

2. Rationalizations in white-collar crime often involve justifying illegal actions to themselves. Control theory posits that individuals with weak self-control are more likely to rationalize their behaviour, as they may struggle to resist immediate temptations. These rationalizations can include minimizing the moral or legal implications of their actions or blaming external factors.

Control theory highlights the importance of reinforcing social bonds, promoting self-control, and strengthening external controls within organizations and society to deter white-collar criminal behaviour. By building a stronger ethical foundation and reducing the rationalizations that drive individuals to engage in illegal activities, it becomes possible to prevent and mitigate the harm caused by white-collar crime.

Motivations for white-collar crime are complex and multifaceted, often driven by a combination of personal, organizational, and societal factors. White-collar crime refers to non-violent, financially motivated illegal activities typically committed by individuals in high-status professions or positions of trust. Understanding the motivations behind white-collar crime is essential for prevention, enforcement, and policy development. Here are some key motivations for white-collar crime:

1. Financial Gain: One of the primary motivations for white-collar crime is financial enrichment. Individuals may engage in fraudulent activities, embezzlement, insider trading, or tax evasion to accumulate wealth or maintain a certain lifestyle. The allure of significant financial rewards can be a powerful incentive, especially when individuals perceive their actions as low-risk.

2. Career Advancement: Some white-collar criminals commit crimes to advance their careers or secure promotions within their organizations. Manipulating financial data, misrepresenting performance metrics, or engaging in corrupt practices can help them achieve these goals, at least in the short term.

3. Preserving Reputation: White-collar offenders may be motivated by the desire to maintain their professional image or reputation. In some cases, they may resort to fraudulent practices to conceal business failures or to avoid personal embarrassment, which could result from public knowledge of their mistakes.

4. Pressure to Meet Expectations: The pressure to meet high organizational or industry expectations can lead individuals to commit white-collar crimes. In competitive corporate environments, employees may feel compelled to engage in unethical practices to meet unrealistic targets or quotas set by management.

5. Job Security: Some white-collar criminals fear losing their jobs due to poor performance or the exposure of their misdeeds. They may resort to unethical behavior to preserve their positions or maintain job security.

6. Maintaining Lifestyle: Individuals in high-status professions often have expensive lifestyles to uphold, including mortgages, luxury cars, and private schools for their children. This can motivate them to engage in criminal activities to sustain their preferred standard of living.

7. Addiction or Gambling Debts: Personal problems, such as addiction or excessive gambling, can drive white-collar offenders to commit crimes to cover their debts or support their habits.

8. Financial Pressures: White-collar criminals may face financial difficulties, such as mounting debts, medical bills, or family obligations. These pressures can push them toward illegal activities, as they perceive criminal behavior as a way to alleviate financial stress.

9. Organizational Culture: The culture within an organization can play a significant role in motivating white-collar crime. In environments where unethical behavior is tolerated, normalized, or even encouraged, individuals may be more inclined to engage in fraudulent practices to fit in or meet organizational goals.

10. Market Competition: In highly competitive markets, companies may resort to fraudulent activities to gain a competitive edge. This can include misrepresenting product quality, manipulating market prices, or engaging in anticompetitive practices to monopolize the industry.

11. Psychological Factors: Some individuals may have personality traits or psychological factors that make them more susceptible to white-collar crime. These factors can include narcissism, a lack of empathy, or a willingness to take risks.

12. Perceived Injustice: White-collar offenders may rationalize their actions by perceiving themselves as victims of an unjust system. They may argue that their actions are a response to unfair treatment by their employers, customers, or regulators.

13. Opportunity: The opportunity to commit white-collar crimes often arises within professional or organizational contexts. Individuals may exploit weaknesses in internal controls, inadequate oversight, or regulatory loopholes that allow them to engage in illegal activities without detection.

14. Social Comparison: Social comparison theory suggests that individuals often evaluate their own success and well-being in comparison to others. White-collar criminals may be motivated by a desire to keep up with or surpass their peers, even if it means resorting to illegal means.

15. Cognitive Dissonance: Some white-collar offenders experience cognitive dissonance, a psychological discomfort arising from conflicting thoughts and actions. To reduce this discomfort, they may rationalize their criminal behaviour by minimizing the moral or legal implications of their actions.

RATIONALIZATIONS IN WHITE-COLLAR CRIME

White-collar criminals employ rationalizations as cognitive techniques to excuse or explain their immoral or illegal behaviour. These justifications might lessen emotions of remorse or shame connected to one's unlawful behaviour and help people maintain a positive self-image. Here are a few justifications used frequently in white-collar crime:

Denial of Responsibility: This defence is removing responsibility for the illegal or immoral conduct from oneself and placing it on other people or events. The perpetrators may argue that they were merely carrying out orders or that they were powerless.

Denial of Injury: People minimize the damage brought on by their behaviour in this justification. They may contend that no one was actually harmed by their acts or that the harm was slight and not significant. Denial of Victim: Perpetrators using this rationalization deny that there are actual victims of their actions. They may argue that the victims are not really victims or that they deserved what happened to them.

Condemnation of the Condemners: This rationalization involves attacking or discrediting those who criticize or accuse the perpetrator. They may claim that the accusers are hypocrites or are engaging in similar unethical behaviour.

Appeal to Higher Loyalties: Those who use this defence feel that their acts are justified by a higher moral or ethical goal. They may contend that they were acting in the organization's or family's best interests or that their actions were required to protect the interests of a bigger group.

Ledger as a metaphor: This justification calls for balancing the scales of justice to justify one's unethical behaviour. The perpetrators may feel that their good activities or constructive contributions balance out their crime, giving them a sense of moral balance.

It's critical to remember that these explanations do not serve as legal defences for white-collar crime or any other unethical behaviour. Individuals employ these cognitive defence strategies to avoid facing the ethical and legal repercussions of their behaviour.

THE ROLE OF PERSONALITY TRAITS

Personality characteristics can have a big impact on someone's conduct, particularly their predisposition for committing white-collar crime. The traits of narcissism include an exaggerated perception of one's own importance, a desire for adulation, and a lack of empathy for other people. Narcissists may be more likely to commit white-collar crimes in order to advance their own interests or obtain power, fame, or notoriety. To accomplish their objectives, they could be eager to take chances and influence others. Machiavellianism is the term used to describe the propensity to use deceit, strategizing, and manipulation in one's dealings with others. Machiavellian personality types may be more likely to participate in dishonest and unethical behaviour. The absence of regret, empathy, and conscience are characteristics of psychopathy. People who have psychopathic tendencies may commit white-collar crimes without feeling regret or guilt. They frequently possess manipulative and seductive traits, which can help them trick people in

commercial or financial situations. Impulsivity is the act of behaving without giving the consequences much thought. Highly impulsive people may be more likely to make rash financial choices that result in white-collar crimes like embezzlement, fraud, or careless financial speculation. Although not a personality feature per se, cognitive biases can affect a person's judgment and perception of danger. Overconfidence, confirmation bias, and the sunk cost fallacy are examples of cognitive biases that can cause people to engage in dangerous financial behaviour or to justify their unethical behaviour.

It is important to note that having these personality traits does not guarantee that an individual will engage in white-collar crime. Many individuals with these traits do not commit crimes, and there are other factors, such as opportunity and external pressures, that can also contribute to criminal behaviour. Additionally, the presence of these traits may vary in degree from person to person, and not everyone with these traits will exhibit them in the same way. Understanding the role of personality traits in white-collar crime can be valuable for prevention and intervention efforts, as it may help identify individuals who are at a higher risk of engaging in such behaviour and guide strategies for mitigating that risk.

Maintaining the integrity of organizations and financial institutions depends on identifying and preventing white-collar crime. Here are some crucial methods and tactics for identifying and stopping white-collar crime:

Early Warning Symptoms: White-collar crime prevention depends on being able to spot early warning indicators of impending offenses. Unusual financial transactions, unexplained inconsistencies, changes in employee behaviour, and unexplained wealth or opulent lives among employees are a few examples of these warning flags. Early detection might benefit from management and staff training on how to spot these symptoms.

The Function of Regulatory Organizations and Auditors: An important part of monitoring and ensuring compliance with financial regulations is played by independent auditors and regulatory agencies. Internal and external financial audits performed on a regular basis can aid in finding inconsistencies and possible fraud. Regulatory bodies, such as the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) in the United States, establish and enforce rules and regulations to deter and detect white-collar crime.

Ethical Leadership and Organizational Culture: Preventing white-collar crime requires ethical leadership and a strong organizational culture that values moral conduct. An atmosphere where unethical behaviour is less likely to occur can be created by leaders who set a strong ethical tone and lead by example. The organization as a whole can strengthen these ideals through ethical training and conduct guidelines.

Whistleblower programs: Whistleblower programs give staff members a way to report suspected misconduct in confidence and without concern about retaliation. These initiatives have the potential to be successful in revealing white-collar criminality that could otherwise go unnoticed. It's critical to establish precise processes for resolving complaints from whistleblowers and safeguard their identities.

Data Analytics and Forensic Accounting: Employing data analytics and forensic accounting techniques can help organizations proactively detect irregularities in financial transactions and uncover potential instances of white-collar crime. Analyzing financial data for patterns, anomalies, and trends can be a powerful tool for early detection.

Internal Controls and Risk Management: By reducing potential for fraud and misconduct, strong internal controls and risk management systems can help prevent white-collar crime. This entails separating responsibilities, carrying out routine internal audits, and keeping an eye on sensitive information access and financial activities.

Due Diligence and Background Checks: Conducting thorough background checks on potential clients, suppliers, and business partners can aid in locating people who have a history of unethical or illegal behavior. A key preventative measure is to use caution when employing new employees and forming business relationships.

Legal and Regulatory Compliance: It's essential to stay current on pertinent rules and regulations and to ensure compliance. To prevent inadvertent infractions that can result in legal issues, organizations should have a thorough awareness of the legal climate in their sector and geographical area.

Employee Education and Training: By routinely educating and training staff members on moral behavior, business principles, and pertinent laws and regulations, it is possible to increase

awareness and lower the possibility of white-collar crime. Instruction on identifying and reporting suspicious behaviour should be part of this training.

Accountability and Transparent Reporting: Businesses should foster an environment where staff members feel free to voice concerns and where there are obvious repercussions for unethical activity.

CASE LAWS:

ENRON SCANDAL

The Enron scandal, revealed in October 2001, eventually led to the bankruptcy of the Enron Corporation, an American energy company based in Houston, Texas, and the dissolution of Arthur Andersen, which was one of the five largest audit and accountancy partnerships in the world. In addition to being the largest bankruptcy reorganization in American history at that time, Enron undoubtedly is the biggest audit failure. It is ever the most famous company in the world, but it also is one of companies which fell down too fast.

SAMUEL WAKSAL CASE

According to SEC[2] , Samuel Waksal was involved in one insider trading that related to ImClone stock. In December 2001, Samuel Waksal, as the top management of ImClone, received one message from the US Food and Drug Administration to reject ImClone's new medicine: Erbitux. Once he knew this non-public information, he talked to his family member and told them to sell the ImClone's stock to avoid the losses from the stock price cutoff. All of those actions are based on the non-public information, so Waksal violated the law and need to pay for high violation fine for his activity.[3]

---

[2] SEC Charges Former ImClone CEO Samuel Waksal With Illegal Insider Trading, 2002, June 12, https://www.sec.gov/news/press/2002-87.htm.

[3] Analysis on Psychological Factors Impacting Insider Trading Zijing Yao University of Nebraska- Lincoln, Lincoln, NE, 68504, USA

RICHARD BURR CASE

Richard Burr Case Unlike Samuel Waksal was punished by government, Richard Burr just was investigated by FBI, but not be punished as one insider trader. According to Burns & Millhiser[4], Richard Burr was considered that involved in the insider trading cases related to COVID-19. Before the pandemic of COVID-19 in the United State, Richard Burr received many non-public information that related to the potential influence after the COVID-19. During that period, he and his wife sold 33 stocks which include hotel, restaurant, and transportation industry. After they sold those stocks, all of those industries are strong negatively influenced by COVID-19.[5]

BERNIE MADOFF'S PONZI SCHEME

Bernie Madoff, a well-known Wall Street investment advisor, became world-famous for operating possibly the largest Ponzi scheme in history. When investigators for the FBI and the SEC finally uncovered the massive fraud in 2008, losses by Madoff's investors were estimated at more than $50 billion over the course of nearly 20 years. Madoff was effectively given a life sentence – 150 years – and his brother, Peter, who also worked for Bernard L. Madoff Investment Securities LLC, received a prison sentence of 10 years. Madoff was also ordered to pay nearly $200 billion in restitution, but that order from the court was essentially meaningless, as Madoff's assets at that time couldn't begin to cover the amount.[6]

CONCLUSION

White-collar crime motivations are diverse and influenced by a combination of individual, organizational, and societal factors. Recognizing these motivations is crucial for devising effective prevention strategies, promoting ethical conduct, and holding offenders accountable for their actions. Additionally, addressing the systemic issues and organizational cultures that contribute to white-collar crime is essential in reducing its prevalence and impact on society. Understanding

---

[4] K. Burns & I. Millhiser, Sen. Richard Burr and the coronavirus insider trading scandal, explained. Vox. 2020, May 14, https://www.vox.com/policy-andpolitics/2020/5/14/21258560/senator-richard-burrcoronavirus-insider-trading-scandal-explained

[5] *Id, 2*

[6] https://corporatefinanceinstitute.com/resources/capital-markets/bernie-madoff/

these rationalizations is crucial for law enforcement, policymakers, and society to better prevent and address white-collar crime.