



2026

Resilient Leadership in Times of Crisis: Safeguarding Human Rights and Upholding Corporate Accountability

**Deeksha Yadav
Navrati Dongrey
Arushi Shrivastava
Sayali Bandi**

Follow this and additional works at: www.beyondbriefslawreview.in

Published by Paperleaf International Media and Publications under the aegis of Dexon Global LLP

Recommended Citation

Yadav Deeksha, Dongrey Navrati, Shrivastava Arushi, Bandi Sayali (2026) "Resilient Leadership in Times of Crisis: Safeguarding Human Rights and Upholding Corporate Accountability," *Beyond Briefs Law Review: Vol. 03, Special Issue 01, Article 3*.

This article has been brought to you for free and open access by Beyond Briefs Law Review. It has been accepted for inclusion in the Beyond Briefs Law Review by the Editorial Office of Beyond Briefs Law Review.



RESILIENT LEADERSHIP IN TIMES OF CRISIS: SAFEGUARDING HUMAN RIGHTS AND UPHOLDING CORPORATE ACCOUNTABILITY

Deeksha Yadav¹

Navrati Dongrey²

Arushi Shrivastava³

Sayali Bandi⁴

ABSTRACT

The entire world is experiencing global challenges like pandemics, climate change, and geopolitical tensions, which resulted into a changed nature of the business environment, forcing business leaders to respond in highly volatile and ethically demanding situations. Such crises not only distort business activities; but they also measure the sincerity of business dedication to human rights. The present research explores that the how resilient and ethical leadership can include human rights protection while response to business crisis. The authors have adopted a doctrinal research approach for the paper examine international and national frameworks such as the UN Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights, core ILO conventions, and the new human rights due diligence legislation. The paper will also discuss on leadership theories to develop a concepts of resilience as an ethical capacity created through learning rather than individualistic heroism. The paper will reveal the discrepancies between international standards and business practices, especially during times of crises. The paper will conclude that business resilience can only be achieved through ethical leadership.

Keywords: Resilient Leadership; Human Rights; Corporate Accountability; Crisis Governance; Business Ethics; Legal Frameworks

¹ Lecturer, Department of Law, Prestige Institute of Management and Research, Indore. You may contact the author at this email address: deeksha_yadav@pimrindore.ac.in

² Assistant Professor, Department of Law, Prestige Institute of Management and Research, Indore. You may contact the author at this email address: navrati_dongrey@pimrindore.ac.in

³ Assistant Professor, Department of Law, Prestige Institute of Management and Research, Indore. You may contact the author at this email address: arushi_shrivastava@pimrindore.ac.in

⁴ Assistant Professor, Department of Law, Prestige Institute of Management and Research, Indore. You may contact the author at this email address: sayali_bandi@pimrindore.ac.in

Introduction

The COVID-19 pandemic has profoundly changed the ways in which governance and leadership are exercised across the world, forcing both public and private sectors to react quickly in highly uncertain environments. Yes, some of the public and private areas have shown the capacity to change, be transparent, and be resilient, mostly in developing countries, which have faced problems with weak decision-making, rigid bureaucratic systems, and broken communication. There are many factors which have led to delay responses, not consistent policies, and a lack of clear loss of public and stakeholder trust. Recent studies have focused that the results of the crisis were much better when leadership was adaptive, institutions were flexible, and communication remained coherent and responsive.

In some areas of South Asian region, the COVID pandemic has created immense pressure on already poor administration and economic institutions. In Pakistan, the city of Karachi, for example, has highlighted that how a lack of readiness, a less focused approach to governance, and not pro-active leadership has hampered the ability to respond to emergencies effectively. Similar issues have also visible in the corporate world, where interlinked global crises such as pandemics, climate change, and geopolitical tensions have revealed the ethical underpinnings of business leadership. Crisis management has acted as a stress litmus test of organizational values, showing whether human rights values were considered or not.

Research Methodology

The study uses doctrinal analysis based on major international and national human rights instruments, and leadership and human rights scholarship to contextualize corporate responsibility, due diligence, and accountability.

In this inquiry, three significant questions are posed:

1. How do crisis contexts refigure leadership imperatives related to resilience?
2. What normative obligations do international frameworks impose on corporations, and how do gaps manifest in practice?
3. Might a synthesized framework operationalize resilient leadership as a human rights protection?

Identification of Statement of the Research Problem

The article connects leadership theory and human rights law, analyzing standards, gaps, and future frameworks, using real-world crises to demonstrate how ethical leadership facilitates sustainable and rights-respecting corporate governance.

Analysis & Findings of the Research

This section offers a doctrinal and analytical review of resilient leadership, crisis governance, human rights obligations, and corporate accountability, divided into retained thematic sub-sections to ensure conceptual coherence and continuity.

Crisis Contexts and the Emergence of Resilient Leadership

The world is facing global crises in complex ways, ranging from the day-to-day crises of the COVID-19 pandemic, which effectively shut down 1.5 billion global workers in 2020, to the traditional crises of the phenomenon of climate migration, which forces 21.5 million people to move from their homes and livelihoods every year, and other more unique crises, such as the hybrid threat of cyber-attacks and trade wars, among others. These are crises that cascade across geo-political boundaries, threatening human rights in ways that range from the shut down of factories in India, which sent millions of workers in the informal economy back to the streets, to the cancellation of garment orders in Bangladesh because of safety concerns.

Resilient leadership is not possessed by anyone as a kind of innate heroism but rather acquired as a skill: to absorb shocks, find answers on the fly, and spark transformation. For example, in the face of Hurricane Katrina in 2005, effective leaders such as those at Entergy worked to coordinate responses with agencies in rebuilding a community devastated by the hurricane in contrast to firms that were driven to reward shareholders as opposed to retaining workers in the aftermath of the disaster. As this example illustrates, ‘governance’ is transformed with boards engaging in long-range planning, now thinking in terms of a decade rather than a quarter and including “black swan” scenario planning. In India in 2021, for example, the oxygen shortage exposed some pharmaceutical firms such as Serum Institute who responded ethically to crisis to gain public trust in contrast to those firms who engaged in supply hoarding.

The key takeaways are the need to ensure legitimacy by being open and engaging with stakeholders, thus countering reputational damage, as seen in the Boohoo scandal in Leicester in 2020, where claims of modern slavery led to a 12% drop in market value.

Conceptual Foundations of Resilient and Ethical Leadership

Fundamentally, the essential qualities of resilient leadership can be categorized into three areas: cognitive systems thinking, emotional humility in high-pressure situations, and behavioral empowerment of stakeholders. The moral foundation of resilient leadership is based on Aristotelian virtue ethics, in which phronesis, or practical wisdom, assists in dealing with situations characterized by bounded rationality, particularly in high-pressure “fog of war” environments. Resilient leaders also follow the precautionary principle, which Airbus followed in deciding to temporarily halt the operation of its 737 MAX aircraft in the absence of comprehensive information, as seen in its proactive response to safety concerns.

Decision-making in a tough spot benefits from applying the following heuristics: use the 'value-sorting' approach of prioritizing human value first, and then profitability. The theory of Responsible Leadership (RLT) proposes that the leader functions as a moral agent in pluralistic settings. The theory of RLT will be able to induce a sense of ‘we-intention’ through the activity of narrative co-creation. Resilient teams outperform based on empirical evidence from humanitarian responses. Psychological safety - the Project Aristotle equivalent for the crisis setting - is what will surface in the event of dissent, and could be detrimental early on. Humility is revealed and encouraged during the post.

For ethical crises, care ethics emphasizes relational obligations, such as safeguarding the interests of vulnerable contracting firms rather than economizing. Consider the Tata Group’s cyclone relief efforts in the state of Odisha in 1999, which created lasting allegiance, unlike the Vedanta Niyamgiri scenario, where ethical failures have triggered lawsuits. These bases involve nurturing through simulations, coaching, and board compositions, thereby turning threats into principles.

Human Rights Obligations of Corporations during Crises

International human rights law, in short, applies primarily to states, but corporations have obligations to avoid complicity in HR violations: respect life, health, liberty, non-discrimination, etc.

The increase in global crises has made it more pressing: the pandemic, for instance, increases the probability of forced labor globally by 20% according to ILO estimates.

The sources derive from the following: UDHR Article 25, right to health/security, ICCPR Article 7, not to be treated cruelly, ICESCR Article 7, just conditions.

Organizations need to undertake impact assessments pre-emptively, covering even Tier 2 suppliers when uncertainty leads to violations, such as those in cobalt mines in Democratic Republic of Congo, fueling EV production in a climate of emergency. The law is evolving, and there is a European Commission-based EU Directive in 2024 that includes civil liability provisions, piercing the veil principle, in its Corporate Sustainability Due Diligence legislation. Even in India, Companies Act Section 135 includes indirect provisions regarding community-based rights in its CSR provisions.

Leaders operationalize by policy commitment: 'no profit at rights' expense.' Remedies-investigations, compensation-restore legitimacy, as Nestle's Maggi remediation since 2015 demonstrates. The imperative is beyond morality; rights violations cost the \$4.4 trillion/year that the Access to Medicine Foundation calculates.

UN Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights and ILO Frameworks

The three-fold framework of UNGPs—Protect (governments), Respect (companies), Remedy—grounds corporate responsibilities, and Principle 17 requires HRDD: identify, prevent, mitigate, account. HRDD processes are integrated with enterprise risk management (ERM), and boards set salient risks (labor in the garment industry, for example). Management implements through cascade training, where senior managers set the example, and mid-managers implement audits.

The ILO frameworks strengthens the labor pillars with conventions 87 (association), 98 (bargaining), and 155 (safety) that are non-derogable, even in emergency situations. This is challenged by emergencies, such as the 2020 furloughs that ignored consultations in 40% of Indian companies, according to Oxfam. Synergies are created through UNGPs Principle 11.

Case study: Unilever's HRDD maturity model includes supplier scorecards, which have reduced child labor by 84% from 2010 to 2020. Accountability flows down to CEOs through tone at the top

using KPIs, public reporting using Principle 19. Large gaps: voluntary status results in 30% superficial compliance on Shift audits.

Corporate Accountability and Crisis Governance Mechanisms

Accountability depends on transparency (Principle 19), monitoring (18), and the grievance system (29-31). Crisis Boards call on war rooms with independent experts, with incentives tied to rights-based key performance indicators—\$65B, BP's Deepwater Horizon case is a failure of such an approach. Dialogue between stakeholders implements Principle 30, with operational remedies leading to judicial escalation.

Mechanisms include:

1. Internal audits with whistleblower facilities (80% effectiveness - ETT);
2. External verification such as SA 8000; and
3. Real-time dashboards via public information. Specific to India are localization requirements: SEBI's BRSR (2021) requires rights disclosures for the top 1,000 firms

Deficits- worries of retaliation idle 70% of concerns-necessitate safe harbors. Success stories: Maersk developed a 2022 due diligence app that identified over 500 concerns preemptively.

Integration of Human Rights into Crisis Management and Leadership Practices

Integration requires HRDD-embedded BCP: Baseline mapping

Phase 1 (Assess); Phase 2 (Integrate) Policy alignment; tracking Phase 3 (Track) - KPIs; Phase 4 (Communicate) Stakeholder reports.

Leaders set examples: At Adani Ports, cyclone preparedness required the protection of human lives first ahead of company property. Practices include simulations incorporating rights scenarios, such as strike-breaking risks; ethical AI for supply chain tracing; and diverse C-suites-women 30% reduce bias per McKinsey. Pandemic wins: Pfizer's vaccine equity pacts with COVAX. Challenges-Cost pressures-yield to ROI: rights-compliant firms outperform by 5-10% TSR.

Normative Gaps and Challenges in Leadership and Human Rights Enforcement

Even as the standards of human rights continue to be widely accepted, effective implementation remains a challenge, especially in emergencies. Soft-law instruments such as the UN Guiding Principles may sometimes lead to box-ticking rather than accountability, which is further exacerbated by unclear supply chains and weak regulatory oversight in emergency situations. In such situations, companies are likely to give greater importance to their shareholders than to workers' rights, and a lack of employee engagement and selective audits would further widen the gap.

Conclusion

This research makes it clear that true resilience in a crisis is not based on rules and decision-making, but on people, values, and relationships. Leaders who remain calm and act with integrity give institutions the confidence to be flexible without compromising human rights. When institutions are flexible and accountability is taken seriously, crisis responses become more humane as well as more effective. The proposed framework views leadership as a living process—one that anticipates risks, learns from mistakes, and puts dignity at the forefront of decision-making.

It is not optional to prepare leaders for the next crisis; it is necessary for protecting institutions and the people who depend on them. Suggestions for Practice

- Embed HRDD into leadership KPIs, incorporating annual audits.
- Simulate crises using rights scenarios and NGO partnerships.
- Legislate supply chain liability for MNEs.

References

1. Chandrawat Partners. (2025). Resilience and adaptability: Key traits for effective crisis leadership. <https://chandrawatpartners.com/resilience-and-adaptability-key-traits-for-effective-crisis-leadership>
2. Ethical Trading Initiative. (n.d.). Human rights due diligence framework. <https://www.ethicaltrade.org/sites/default/files/shared-resources/eti-human-rights-due-diligence-framework.pdf>
3. Fiveable. (2025). Transparency and accountability in crisis management. <https://fiveable.me/crisis-management/unit-10/transparency-accountability/study-guide/NN9UJHdOffoLsznG>

4. International Labour Organization. (n.d.). India & ILO core conventions. <https://labour.gov.in/en/lcandilasdivision/india-ilo>
5. Meegle. (2025). Executive leadership and ethical decision making. <https://www.meegle.com/en-us/topics/executive-leadership/executive-leadership-and-ethical-decision-making>
6. Plyushcheva, T., & Makhnach, A. (2022). Leadership and resilience in humanitarian crises. DIVA Portal. <http://www.diva-portal.org/smash/get/diva2:1972913/FULLTEXT01.pdf>
7. Social Accountability International. (2020). A six-step approach to supply chain implementation of UNGPs. <https://sa-intl.org/wp-content/uploads/2020/02/SAI-ICCO-UNGP-Handbook.pdf>
8. Stibbe. (2024). Human rights obligations of companies. <https://www.stibbe.com/publications-and-insights/human-rights-obligations-of-companies-international-regulation-and-shifts>
9. UN Global Compact. (n.d.). Integrating human rights into crisis planning. <https://unglobalcompact.org/library/3441>
10. United Nations. (2011). Guiding principles on business and human rights. <https://globalnaps.org/wp-content/uploads/2024/01/NAP-Swiss-2020-2023-English.pdf>
11. Wolfsteller, R. (2022). Business and human rights regulation after the UN Guiding Principles. PMC, PMC8919353. <https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC8919353>

Websites

1. <https://libguides.uww.edu/apa/format>
2. <https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC8919353/>
3. <http://www.diva-portal.org/smash/get/diva2:1972913/FULLTEXT01.pdf>
4. <https://cjl.uchicago.edu/print-archive/hiding-plain-sight-ilo-convention-labor-standards-global-supply-chains>
5. <https://chandrawatpartners.com/resilience-and-adaptability-key-traits-for-effective-crisis-leadership/>
6. <https://fhs.diva-portal.org/smash/get/diva2:1972913/FULLTEXT01.pdf>
7. <https://www.meegle.com/en-us/topics/executive-leadership/executive-leadership-and-ethical-decision-making>
8. <https://ramss.spcrd.org/index.php/ramss/article/download/472/489/1775>

9. <https://globalnaps.org/issue/human-rights-due-diligence/>
10. <https://www.stibbe.com/publications-and-insights/human-rights-obligations-of-companies-international-regulation-and-shifts>
11. <https://sa-intl.org/wp-content/uploads/2020/02/SAI-ICCO-UNGP-Handbook.pdf>
12. <https://labour.gov.in/en/lcandilasdivision/india-ilo>
13. <https://globalnaps.org/wp-content/uploads/2024/01/NAP-Swiss-2020-2023-English.pdf>
14. <https://fiveable.me/crisis-management/unit-10/transparency-accountability/study-guide/NN9UJHdOffoLsznG>
15. <https://unglobalcompact.org/library/3441>
16. <https://www.scribbr.com/apa-style/format/>